EXHIBIT F

I believe are relement (phonetic) -- relevant for each 1 2 element. 3 Ο. And you reviewed the Kapoor reference? Α. Yes. 4 There were several other references: 5 Ο. Peeters reference and there was the Cai reference. 6 7 Did you review those references? Α. I scanned those, and then I had some questions 8 9 in my mind about which references were relevant for 10 today's deposition. I did look through the Peeters reference I recall, and then I moved on to just the 11 references that were relevant for today's deposition. 12 13 In prep -- in preparing these reports, or actually in connection with this case, did you speak to 14 Krista Jacobsen ever? 15 16 Are you asking me if I talk -- sorry, the 17 Internet --18 Ο. Did you ---- every once in a while has a problem. 19 Α. 20 Q. In preparing these expert reports of yours or 21 actually since you were working on this case, did you 22 ever speak to a Ms. Krista Jacobsen? Not that I recall, no. 23 Α. 24 Ο. Okay. Did you speak to Dr. Chow? 25 Α. No, I know I didn't speak to Dr. Chow.

- A. I think that's correct.
- Q. And the attorneys provided the Chow reference to you?
- 4 A. Yes.

1

7

9

10

- Q. And the attorneys provided the Kapoor reference to you?
 - A. They may have, but I -- I recall finding multiple Kapoor references and looking at those and then having a discussion of which one would be the best one to use.
- 11 Q. Okay.
- A. So I -- I can't say for sure, the one that we used, whether I found it, whether someone else did, but I do recall there were multiple Kapoor references that I found.
- Q. And how about the Peeters reference, did --
- 17 did the attorneys give you that reference?
- 18 A. Which reference?
- 19 Q. Peeters.
- A. I'm thinking it would be similar to Kapoor. I

 -- I'm thinking that there were multiple Peeters

 references that I found, and it was a matter of

 resolving which prior art reference would be used. And

 I may have received that one from the attorneys. I just
- 25 don't know which one of the multiple ones I found and

1 Α. Okay. I understand. 2 Ο. Okay. 3 Α. Again, every once in a while, the Internet just breaks up. I don't know if it's coming from your 4 end or whatever, and that's why --5 Ο. Right. 6 7 Α. -- I have to fill in some blanks there. Okay. Yes. And as -- as you, perhaps, well know, an 8 Q. 9 SNR margin is selected to establish what kind of bit 10 error rate you want in the system, correct? MR. ONG: Objection, form. 11 12 Α. Again, I'm very, very careful with the words 13 you're using. So now you used the words "SNR margin" in 14 your question. And the SNR margin, the Court has given us 15 16 a specific construction for that. So I would say the 17 SNR margin is what the Court has construed it to be. (BY MR. CHIPLUNKAR) Yes. And maybe it -- it 18 would be helpful if I send you a report and we look at 19 the court's construction. 20 21 Would that be helpful? 22 For me to answer any questions specifically Α. about SNR margin, yes. 23 24 MR. ONG: While we do that, Kelly, is there a Realtime for this? 25

- on a carrier -- bits on a subcarrier, and the numbers
 can, when decreasing, can go from 2 to 0 or from 0 to 2
 is the one option they give.
 - Q. But when you change one carrier from 2 to 0, you've got to increase it from -- you've got to increase it by 2 on the other -- on another carrier, correct?
 - A. You'll need to show me where it says that. I think this is just declaring that the subcarrier is changing and doesn't have anything to do with increasing anywhere else.
- Q. Okay. Now, the bit swap described here, you've not relied on the bit swap here for your invalidity opinions, correct?
 - A. Again, I don't recall relying on anything in these two documents that you've just produced.
 - Q. Okay.

4

5

7

9

10

14

15

16

17

23

24

25

- A. For invalid -- invalidity of the claim.
- Q. Okay. Now, I'm not sure if -- so we were looking at the Court's construction of SNR margin, right, and -- and -- and you said -- I won't put words in your mouth, but you -- to paraphrase what you said, you said it's an extra SNR margin.
 - So you have an existing SNR margin in the system, and then it's an extra added SNR margin?
 - A. Well, and that's not exactly what I said.

1 Q. Yeah, so --2 Α. But frankly, I was reading -- they say additional I believe is the word the Court uses, but I 3 want to be very clear, I have taken the Court's 4 construction and used it in all of my analysis. 5 In other words, I -- I don't want to get 6 7 into a -- paraphrasing their construction. I've used it word for word. I think the word they use is an 9 additional -- in addition to the bit error rate margin, 10 right? But, again, I've used the Court's 11 construction. 12 13 So if you want to look at the Court's construction and -- and... 14 Α. We looked at it earlier. It was right in the 15 16 table. Yeah. It's -- it's in -- it's in paragraph 17 117, sir, if that helps. 18 19 Oh, I wasn't back far enough then. Okay. Α. That would be paragraph 117 of Exhibit 8. 20 Q. 21 Α. Wait a minute. You said paragraph 110 of my 22 report. 23 Q. 117, sorry. 117. 24 Α. Here it is. And, right, it -- I'm -- I'm 25 reading it and it requires an addition.

requirement assigned per carrier in addition to the SNR 1 2 requirement to maintain a specified bit error rate. 3 So I'm just -- that's why instead of extra or whatever, it uses in addition to. So the first thing 4 that needs to be established is the bit error rate 5 margin to establish and maintain a specific bit error 7 rate and then it needs to be a margin on top of that or in addition to that. 9 So you interpreted the Court's construction to have a bit error rate margin and then added to that an 10 SNR -- an extra margin? That's how you interpreted the 11 12 Court's construction? I just want to understand. 13 MR. ONG: Objection, form. We need to start with the beginning of the 14 Α. construction or the construed term. It says, "a 15 16 parameter used in determining the number of bits 17 allocated to each of the plurality carriers." So what we're -- I'm going to stop there 18 and explain what I interpret there. This is regarding 19 bit allocation or bit loading, it's sometimes referred 20 to, right? 21 2.2 So in order for the transmitter to understand how many bits it's going to apply per symbol, 23 24 it needs to look at a value of a parameter that specifies an extra SNR requirement assigned per carrier 25

- 1 | combination of Kapoor and Chow that I've used, and I've
- 2 been very careful to map the claims that include the
- 3 | term SNR margin to the Court's construction of SNR
- 4 | margin.
- 5 Q. So would it surprise you if I told you that
- 6 | the Court's construction of SNR margin does not appear
- 7 | anywhere in your analysis of the prior art?
- 8 A. That's not true at all. I have it at least
- 9 | three times that I've applied it.
- 10 | Q. Okay.
- 11 A. And multiple times. I strongly disagree with
- 12 | that.
- 13 | Q. Okay.
- 14 A. This is a lesson that I've learned -- let me
- 15 | finish. This is a lesson I learned many years ago, that
- 16 | you apply -- as an expert, you apply the Court's
- 17 | construction.
- 18 That's what I'm trying to explain many
- 19 times to you is I will not go away or try to change the
- 20 | Court's construction, and I have mapped the Court's
- 21 | construction to each one of the claims as I've analyzed
- 22 | them.
- 23 Q. Okay. If you could go to Exhibit 3 of your
- 24 | report.
- 25 | A. Okay.

So -- so you -- before we get into your 1 Ο. 2 opinions regarding the prior art, so you agree that the prior art does not disclose the type of SNR margin 3 described in Columns 1 and 2 of our patent, correct? I haven't made that analysis. I agree and I 5 provided analysis that the prior art that I've used 6 7 meets the Court's construction for SNR margin. So sitting here today, you don't have an 8 Q. 9 opinion if the prior art discloses the type of SNR 10 margin described in Columns 1 and 2 of our patent? I didn't even consider that because the Court 11 Α. gave us a clear construction. 12 Why do you keep asking me this question? 13 I'm going to keep answering it the same way. I have --14 I've been in Judge Gilstrap's court many times. 15 16 doesn't matter what court it is. 17 But I am very clear and I'll continue to be very clear that I am following the Court's 18 construction for SNR margin. I'm not trying to compare 19 I'm not trying to contrast it to any other 20 21 definition. I've simply mapped what the Court says it 22 is and I've gone with it in my analysis, and I haven't varied in any way. 23 24 Ο. Okay. Can we go to Section -- can we go to 25 paragraph 29?

Mr. Lanning. Before we proceed, did you speak with 1 2 anybody regarding your deposition testimony today? No, nothing about my deposition. 3 Α. Ο. If you could turn to Exhibit 1, which 4 is the patent, and look at Columns 1 and 2. 5 Α. Okay. I've got 1 and 2 open. 6 7 0. Yeah. So -- so now, you agree with me that Chow does not dis -- disclose the type of SNR margin 9 described in Columns 1 and 2 of the patent, correct? 10 MR. ONG: Objection, form. As we've gone over many times, I believe Chow 11 Α. 12 discloses the claims as they've been -- as SNR margin has been construed by the Court, and I've included my 13 analysis, starting at Element 10.C for the first margin, 14 and continued on with 10.D for the second margin. 15 16 Q. (BY MR. CHIPLUNKAR) But sitting here today, 17 you haven't provided an opinion that the product discloses the type of SNR margin described in Column 1 18 and 2 of the patent, correct? 19 20 Objection, form. MR. ONG: 21 Α. I have included the analysis. 2.2 Whether I understand what those columns say, but those columns aren't clearly the same as the 23 24 Court's construction. So I followed the Court's construction for my analysis. 25